```
.UP 10 2; Draft, printer 1; -n -PA4 -y -dFX-NORMAL -Fx -e; dumbp
.............L........T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......R
.UP 10 2; Draft, printer 1; -n -PA4 -y -dFX-NORMAL -Fx -e; dumbp
.............L........T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......R
.UP 10 2; Draft, printer 1; -n -PA4 -y -dFX-NORMAL -Fx -e; dumbp
.............L........T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......R
.UP 10 2; Draft, local-1; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T....R
.............L........T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......R
.PL55
.SP2
.HE2
```

#

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO.94 OF 2005

Smt. Sunita Ramesh Punugade ..Applicant.
Vs.
Ramesh Shankarrao Punugade ..Petitioner.
...
Mr. C.R.Anekar for the Applicant.

CORAM : DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J. @@
AAAAAAA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA AAA
29th November 2005.

P.C. :@@
CCCC

```
.............L.......I.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.J
```

The appeal filed by the Applicant against a decree for divorce is pending before the Court of the Additional District Judge, Kolhapur. A transfer has been sought. There are no valid reasons for transferring the appeal from the Additional District Judge, Kolhapur. It has been stated that the earlier advocate who had been engaged at Kolhapur has returned his brief and a fresh advocate from Belgaum has been engaged. That cannot be a ground for transferring the proceedings. No case has been made out. The Application is dismissed.